

RECEIVED
JAN 29 2004
TC 1700

Practitioner's D cket N . P-1094

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Yinyan Huang, et. al.

Application No.: 10 /025,662 Group No.: 1745 -

Filed: December 19, 2001 - Examiner: Martin, Angela J.

For: AN EXHAUST TREATMENT AND FILTRATION SYSTEM FOR MOLTEN

CARBONATE FUEL CELLS

Confirmation No.: 6526 -

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment — See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2.	Applic	cant	is			
	a small entity. A statement:					
			is attached.			
			was already filed.			
			(When using Express Mail, th Express Ma	DER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* e Express Mail label number is mandatory; iil certification is optional.)		
l he	ereby cer	tify th	at, on the date shown below,	this correspondence is being:		
				MAILING		
8	deposite Box 145	d with 0, Ale	h the United States Postal Servi exandria, VA 22313-1450	ce in an envelope addressed to Commissioner fo	or Patents, P.O.	
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 °					•	
3	with sufficient postage as first class mail.			as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"		
				Mailing Label No.		
			T	RANSMISSION		
	facsimile	trans	smitted to the Patent and Trade	emark Office, (703)		
)ata	900	ua.	u 21 2004	Holly Hart Signature		
Jaic	. / s //	MALL!	uy 21,2004	Holly Hart		
				(type or print name of person southing)		

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 1 of 4)

^{*} Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

	other than a s	mall entity.			
		EXTENSION OF TE	RM		
NOTE:	has been filed after a	n Patent Cases (Supplement Amendr Non-Final Office Action, an extension I amendment after expiration of the	nents) — If a timely and complete response of time is not required to permit filing and/or shortened statutory period.		
	filing and/or entry of a of the shortened state for allowance. Of co-	Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry autory period unless the timely-filed r	on, an extension of time is required to permit y of an additional amendment after expiration esponse placed the application in condition filed within the shortened statutory period, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).		
NOTE:	See 37 C.F.R. § 1.6 for extensions of time	45 for extensions of time in interfere in reexamination proceedings.	nce proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c)		
NOTE:	NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) " an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efform to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.70 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three month after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."				
	proceedings here 136 apply.	ein are for a patent application	on and the provisions of 37 C.F.R.		
		(complete (a) or (b), as app	licable)		
(a) [Applicant petit (fees: 37 C.F.F	ions for an extension of time I. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total	under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 number of months checked below:		
	extension months) one month two months three months four months	Fee for other than small entity \$ 110.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 950.00 \$ 1,480.00	Fee for small entity \$ 55.00 \$ 210.00 \$ 475.00 \$ 740.00		
		Fee:	¢		
If an a	additional extension		consider this a petition therefor.		
		k and complete the next item	·		
	An extension for paid therefor of	or months	has already been secured. The fee rom the total fee due for the total		
		Extension fee due with the	nis request \$		
	·	OR			
(b) ☑	conditional per	ition is being made to provid	rm is required. However, this is a le for the possibility that applicant petition for extension of time.		
		(An	nendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 2 of 4)		

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. T	he fee for cl	laims (37 C	F.R. § 1.16	b)-(d)) has b	een cal	culated	as st	nown be	elow:
	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL	. ENTITY			THAN A ENTITY
	CLAIMS REMAININ AFTER AMENDME	G	HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	20	MINUS	22	=	x\$9=	\$		x\$18=	\$
INDEP.	. 4	MINUS	6	=	x\$43=	\$		x\$86=	\$
☐ FIRS	ST PRESENTAT	TION OF MUL	TIPLE DEP. CLA	AIM	+ \$145 =	\$		+\$290=	\$
			than entry in Co			\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	
	box in Col. 1 on RNING: "After with a	of a prior ame final rejection ny requiremen	Paid For" (Total andment or the re- or action (§ 1.11 at of form which complete (c) for claims is	number of clair 13) amendment has been mad or (d), as ap	ns original s may be n de." 37 C.I	ly filed. nade cand F.R. § 1.1	elling	claims or	complying
(0)	[3 140 au	ditional lee	roi ciairris is	OR					
(d)									
			FEE	PAYMENT					
	Authorization to Dep to Cree form P	on is hereb posit Accou dit card as PTO-2038.	ck mone y made to cl nt No. 03 shown on th	harge the arms = 3420 ne attached	mount of — credit ca	f \$	rmatio	on autho	
WAR			tion should not fees required						
	manner au			u by this pa	per or ci	edit an	y OVE	граугпе	nt iii tile
			-	(Amendme	nt Transm	ittal [9)-19]- pa	ge 3 of 4)

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are

	six-month period has expired befi abandoned. In those instances we encountered in returning the paper	me consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, one the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior on to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).
6. [2	If any additional extension No03-3420	n and/or fee is required, charge Account
	1	AND/OR
C	If any additional fee for one No03-3420	claims is required, charge Account
		· ·
		OHP Cop
Reg. No	o.: 31,945	SEGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER Scott R. Cox (type or print name of practitioner)
Tel. No.	: (502)589–4215	400 West Market St., Ste. 2200 P.O. Address
Custom	er No.:	Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 4 of 4)

JAN 2 3 2004 BY

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
JAN 29 2034
TC 1700

In re application of:

Yinyan Huang, et al.

: Group No.: 1745

Serial No. 10/025,662

Filing Date: December 19, 2001

: Examiner: Martin, Angela J.

Attorney Docket No. P-1094

: Confirmation No.: 6526

For: AN EXHAUST TREATMENT AND FILTRATION SYSTEM FOR MOLTEN CARBONATE FUEL

CELLS

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

This is a Response to the Office Action from the United States Patent and Trademark Office dated December 23, 2003. In that Office Action the USPTO requested a restriction to one of the following inventions: Invention I in Claims 1 - 14, 17, 21 and 22, drawn to a filter system and a process for filtering contaminants, or Invention II in Claims 15 and 16, drawn to a process for preparing a filter system.

The applicants note that Claims 18 - 20 have not been included in this restriction requirement. However, as Claim 18 (as amended) depends on Claim 17, Claim 19 depends on Claim 1 and Claim 20 depends on Claim 14, the applicants believe that these claims were intended to be included with Invention I. The applicants discussed this matter with Examiner Martin on

January 20, 2004, who concurred that these claims should be included with Invention I.

The applicants elect to prosecute the claims of Invention I, Claims 1 - 14, 17, 21, 22 and 18 - 20, without traverse. In addition, applicants cancel Claims 15 and 16 reserving the rights to prosecute those claims in a later filed application.

Applicants represent that the cancellation of Claims 15 and 16 does not effect the inventorship of any of the remaining claims of the application.